UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,288	11/18/2003	Sathish Kumar	15141US02	6074
	7590 07/31/200 S HELD & MALLOY,	EXAMINER		
500 WEST MA	DISON STREET	AN, SHAWN S		
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/716,288	KUMAR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	SHAWN AN	2621
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. /ance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) ☐ Claim(s) 8-14 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,15 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	rawn from consideration. //or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted to by the Examination 10 and accepted to by the Examination 10 and accepted to a specific accepted to a s	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:      1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Request for Continued Examination

1. The request filed on 7/08/08 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 10/716,288 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

# Response to Amendment

2. As per Applicant's instructions as filed on 7/08/08, claims 1, 4, 8, 11, and 15 have been amended, and claim 16 has been newly added.

Furthermore, after scrutiny of the Applicant's invention, it has been found that the Examiner's 35 USC 101 rejection as filed on 10/16/07, of which Applicant has amended claims to overcome the rejection, is incorrect. Applicant's invention does not contain a computer readable medium and computer executable instructions, but rather an instruction memory for storing a plurality of executable instructions and a processor for executing the plurality of executable instructions as properly claimed as filed on 11/18/03.

Therefore, the Examiner withdraws the previously rejected (under *35 USC 101*) claims, and requests to the Applicant to amend the corresponding independent claims 1, 14, and 15 in such a way to correctly represent the instruction memory for storing a plurality of executable instructions and the processor for executing the plurality of executable instructions, very much the same as the recited "an instruction memory ...; a processor for ..., instructions causing:" claims as originally filed on 11/18/03.

The Examiner sincerely apologizes for the inconvenience the previously rejected 35 USC 101 rejection and the subsequent correction (for the following 35 USC 112 rejection) would have caused.

Art Unit: 2621

### Response to Remarks

3. Applicant's remarks with respect to amended claims as filed on 7/08/08 have been carefully considered and agreed with the exception of amended claim 15.

Please refer to the following new ground(s) of rejection for amended claim 15.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

**4.** The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Independent claims 1, 4, and 15, and dependent claims 2-3, 5-7, and 16 (by virtue of their dependencies) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The independent claim(s) contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

On claims 1, 4, and 15, the recited "a computer readable medium storing computer executable instructions;" and the recited "..., the computer executable instructions, the execution of the computer executable instructions causing:" do not seem to have any support in the Applicant's specification(s) and drawings.

Therefore, correction(s) are required to overcome the above rejection.

**Note:** merits of claims 1, 4, and 15 will be examined.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- **6.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2621

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue (5,920,352) in view of Kadono (6,836,273 B1).

**Regarding claim 15**, Inoue discloses a circuit for decoding video data, said circuit comprising:

storing a first macroblock row of a first frame in <u>a first one</u> (first channel) or more rows of memory (Fig. 2B, 220A; Fig. 3A, 212, Channel A; Fig. 4B, Row0);

storing a first macroblock row of a second frame in a second one or <u>more rows</u> (second channel) of memory (Fig. 2B, 220B; Fig. 3A, 212, Channel B; Fig. 4B, Row136)(col. 9, lines 7-52);

storing a first macroblock row of a third frame in a third one or <u>more rows</u> (third channel) of memory (Fig. 2B, 220B; Fig. 3A, 212, Channel C; Fig. 4B, Row 272)(col. 9, lines 7-52), the third one or more rows of memory being continuous (Fig. 4B), Row 272-Row 339);

providing a particular one of the macroblocks of either the first frame or the second frame upon receiving a request for the particular one of the macroblocks (col. 4, lines 1-12);

a particular one of the <u>first one</u> (Row0) or more rows of memory is adjacent to a particular one of the second one or <u>more rows</u> (Row136) of memory (Fig. 3A, 212, Channel A adjacent to Channel B);

a particular one of the third one or <u>more rows</u> (Row 272) of memory is adjacent to another particular one of the second one or <u>more rows</u> of memory (Fig. 3A, 212, Channel C adjacent to Channel B);

a particular one of the <u>fourth one</u> (R273) or more rows of memory is adjacent to another particular one of the third one or <u>more rows</u> of memory (Fig. 3A, 212, Channel C; Fig. 4B, Row 272).

All of the claimed features have been met with the exception of a computer readable memory for storing..., and a processor for executing ...,.

Art Unit: 2621

However, Kadono teaches image decoding method and providing memory management program storage medium which can increase the efficiency of the memory bank and greatly reduce the risk of erasure of the frame data for decoding (col. 6, lines 28-45).

Kadono also teaches a diagram for explaining a storage medium which contains program for implementing the memory management method and image decoding method by a computer system (Figs. 14a-14c).

Therefore, it would have been considered obvious to one of skill in the art employing Inoue's method for decoding video data to incorporate Kadono's teachings as above so that the instruction memory stores a plurality of executable instructions, and the processor executes the plurality of executable instructions, wherein the execution of the plurality of executable instructions causing to perform Inoue's method for decoding video data as discussed above, thereby increasing the efficiency of the memory bank and greatly reducing the risk of erasure of the frame data for decoding.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- **8.** Claims (1-7 and 16 (<u>contingent upon overcoming 35 U.S.C. 112</u> rejection as discussed above)), and 8-14 are allowed.
- **9. Independent claims 1, 4, 8, and 11** are allowed as having incorporated allowable subject matter (amended novel feature(s)).

The prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the amended novel feature(s) as specified in claims 1, 4, 8, and 11.

Accordingly, if rejected claims are canceled, the application would be placed in condition for allowance.

#### Conclusion

**10.** Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to *Shawn An* whose telephone number is 571-272-7324.

Art Unit: 2621

**11.** The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2621